UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,908	04/13/2005	04/13/2005 Mattias Ossiansson		1988	
PEARNE & GO	7590 12/18/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER			
1801 EAST 9T	-	RACHUBA, MAURINA T			
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND,	ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/524,908		OSSIANSSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Maurina Rachuba		3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MOSING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compared for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS CO  a). In no event, hower  apply and will expire Solute the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>22 Octo</i>	ober 2007					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 October 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′—	Since this application is in condition	<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is		
- <b>,</b>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	lection requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 February</u>		a) accepted	or b)∏ objected	d to by the Exami	iner.		
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any obje			=	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		= -	-	-	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) 🔲 [	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/524,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 October 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/524,908

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wieland, 5,243,764 in view of Durr, 6,363,618. '764 discloses the claimed invention, including an engine powered portable tool comprising a working tool placed on a working tool carrier 32 clamped to a tool casing comprising at least a crankcase 27 characterized in that the working tool carrier is clamped to a protruding part 47 of the crankcase 50; the protruding part 47 is provided with a surface that the work tool carrier is clamped to; the working tool carrier is clamped to the tool casing by one or more bolts 48 secured in the protruding part by related nuts; '764 does not disclose the crankcase made of a plastic material or material with low density, the component, made of a higher E-module than the material in the rest of the crankcase, embedded in the crankcase wall. '618, in a similar device, figures 1-3, and column 2, lines 29 through column 4, lines 44, teaches providing a component 7 in a crankcase wall, the component made of a metal composition, inherently having a higher E-module than the material in the rest of the crankcase, made of a low density material, and the component is shaped and placed so that a section 34 of the component is not covered by the material that the rest of the crankcase is made of so that the section is a part of the surface that the working tool carrier aligns; and the component is placed in such a way in the crankcase that at least one of the screws 11 that keep the different parts of the crankcase together also extend through the component. Because both references teach a housing for a portable tool, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to substitute the crankcase of low density material with a metal composition insert to

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

achieve the predictable result of providing a portable tool having a crankcase of lighter weight, higher strength, and less overall height.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment has overcome the previous rejection under 35 USC 102.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723